

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,138	12/31/2003	David Gschneidner	01946/100G527-US2	9762
53696 Emisphere Te	7590 06/19 chnologies, Inc.	007	EXAMINER	
c/o DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. BOX 770 Church Street Station			CARR, DEBORAH D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	NEW YORK, NY 10008-0770		1621	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/750,138	GSCHNEIDNER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Deborah D. Carr	1621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N). imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 A	<u> </u>				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	I53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 and 15-31 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 15-27 29-31 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 28 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summar	ov (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of National Training Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail [

Application/Control Number: 10/750,138

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see page 8, filed 2 April 2007, with respect to the rejection under 35 USC§112 and claim objections have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection and objections of claims 5, 26-27 has been withdrawn.
- 2. The following rejection is deemed proper.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim is rendered indefinite where the claim fails to state the function, which is to be rendered effective, and the amount.

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1621

6. Claim 28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for heparin, rhGH, PTH-34, interferon, insulin delivery systems does not reasonably provide enablement for all delivery systems containing actives agents other than those listed supra and there use in administrating a biologically active agent. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

While working examples are not required, where applicant defines the invention in Markush form, each member of the Markush group should be specifically contemplated in the specification and the specification should at least contain a generic teaching of how to prepare the compounds whose preparation is not specifically disclosed.

Enablement for a single compound cannot provide enablement for the breadth of claims sought in arts, which are unpredictable. Ex parte Hitzeman, 9 USPQ2d 1821 (BPAI 1987) (a single embodiment may provide broad enablement in cases involving predictable factors, but more is required in cases involving unpredictable factors, such as chemical or physiological activity); In re Shokal, 242 F.2d 771, 113 U.S.P.Q. 283, 285 (C.C.P.A. 1957) (a single species is seldom, if ever, sufficient to support a generic claim); In re Langer, 503 F.2d 1380, 183 USPQ 288 (CCPA 1974) (proof of utility for the preferred species does not necessarily establish the utility of the remaining members of the genus); Ex parte Lanham, 135 USPQ 106 (POBA 1961) (biological activity of chemicals is notoriously unpredictable).

Art Unit: 1621

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-12, 15-27, 29-31 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah D. Carr whose telephone number is 571-272-0637. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ddc